## The Bonding of Nitric Oxide in Transition-metal Nitrosyl Complexes

By Peter Gans

(Department of Inorganic and Structural Chemistry, The University, Leeds)

IN monomeric transition-metal complexes the nitric oxide is currently considered<sup>1</sup> to be in the form NO<sup>+</sup> when the stretching frequency,  $\nu$  (N–O), falls in the range 2300—1515 cm.<sup>-1</sup>. The value of  $\nu$  (N–O) is lower than that of the free ion NO<sup>+</sup> according to the extent of back-donation of metal *d* electrons into the  $\pi^*$ NO antibonding orbitals. The stretching frequency of ionic NO<sup>+</sup> is very similar to that of the isoelectronic molecule

nitrogen<sup>2</sup>  $[v(N-N) = 2331 \text{ cm.}^{-1}]$ , but oxygen, which is isoelectronic with the ion NO<sup>-</sup>, absorbs<sup>2</sup> at 1555 cm.<sup>-1</sup>. I therefore suggest that, when v(N-O) is found in the region 1500—1700 cm.<sup>-1</sup>, the nitric oxide be assigned the structure NO<sup>-</sup>, and that frequencies at the upper end of this scale arise formally by partial donation of an electron pair from  $\pi^*NO$  to the metal *d* orbital from which it originated.

<sup>1</sup> J. Lewis, R. J. Irving, and G. Wilkinson, J. Inorg. Nuclear Chem., 1958, 7, 32; W. P. Griffith, J. Chem. Soc., 1963, 3286.

<sup>2</sup> K. Nakamoto, "Infrared Spectra of Inorganic and Co-ordination Compounds", John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York, 1963.

Previously it has been assumed<sup>3</sup> that NOabsorbs at ca. 1200 cm.<sup>-1</sup> and this assignment is supported by a <sup>15</sup>N substitution experiment<sup>4</sup> on the black isomer of the compound [Co(NO)-(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub>]Cl<sub>2</sub>. Recent X-ray structural investigations<sup>5</sup> have shown that this compound contains linear Co-N-O or Co-O-N bonds. It is now suggested that its  $\pi^*NO$  orbital contains a timeaverage population of three electrons, by comparison with the stretching frequencies<sup>2</sup> of molecules with the  $(\pi^*)^4$  configuration—878 cm.<sup>-1</sup> (hydrogen peroxide) and 892 cm.<sup>-1</sup> (fluorine). It is probable, but not required by the present model, that the oxygen atom is bonded to cobalt.

For  $\nu$ (N–O) in the region 1700–1900 cm.<sup>-1</sup> each case should be treated on its own merit. For example, the compound (Et<sub>4</sub>N)<sub>2</sub>[Ru(NO)Cl<sub>5</sub>] absorbs at 1830 cm.<sup>-1</sup> and formally contains Ru(II) and NO+, but the compound K<sub>2</sub>[Pt(NO)Cl<sub>5</sub>] absorbs<sup>4</sup> at 1711 cm.<sup>-1</sup> and formally contains Pt(IV) and NO<sup>-</sup>. Moreover, care should be exercised in dealing with v(N-O) obtained from solid-state spectra, since it may vary by as much as 100 cm.<sup>-1</sup> depending on the environment of the nitrosyl complex in the crystal lattice.

According to  $\nu$ (N–O), NO<sup>-</sup> is present in the ions<sup>1,6</sup>  $[V(NO)(CN)_5]^{5-}$  (1575 cm.<sup>-1</sup>),  $[Cr(NO)(CN)_5]^{4-}$ (1515 cm.<sup>-1</sup>), [Cr(NO)(CN)<sub>5</sub>]<sup>3-</sup> (1630 cm.<sup>-1</sup>), and  $[Mn(NO)(CN)_{5}]^{3-}$  (1700 cm.<sup>-1</sup>). The order of molecular orbital energies' is therefore  $d'_{xz} < d_{yz}$  $\leq d_{xy} < \ldots \pi^* < \pi^{**}$ , where  $d'_{xz}$  now represents a molecular orbital derived from  $d_{xz}$  and  $\pi^*NO$ , the electrons of which are mainly localised on the nitric oxide;  $\pi^{**}$  represents the corresponding antibonding orbital, and the positions of the  $\sigma^*$ orbitals are undetermined. The degeneracy of  $e(d_{xz}, d_{yz})$  and  $e(\pi^*NO)$  is removed, usually by a Jahn-Teller distortion from linearity of the M-N-O skeleton.

In many cases the assignment of NO<sup>-</sup> results in a more realistic oxidation state for the metal. For example,  $K_3[Mn(NO)(CN)_5]$  is prepared from  $K_3[Mn(CN)_6]$  in hot aqueous solution but  $K_5[Mn(CN)_6]$  is rapidly oxidised by water, and even  $K_4[Mn(CN)_6]$  is easily oxidised by air.

(Received, March 23rd, 1965.)

- <sup>3</sup> W. P. Griffiths, J. Lewis, and G. Wilkinson, J. Inorg. Nuclear Chem., 1958, 7, 38. <sup>4</sup> W. P. Griffith, J. Lewis, and G. Wilkinson, J. Chem. Soc., 1961, 775.
- <sup>5</sup> D. Hall and A. A. Taggart, J. Chem. Soc., 1965, 1359; D. Dale and D. Crowfoot-Hodgkin, J. Chem. Soc., 1965, 1364.
- <sup>6</sup> W. P. Griffith, J. Lewis, and G. Wilkinson, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1959, 1632. <sup>7</sup> H. B. Gray, P. T. Manoharan, J. Pearlman, and R. F. Riley, *Chem. Comm.*, 1965, 62.